The following comments were received during Clarion Associate’s presentation of their recommendations for development standards and adequacy of public facilities as proposed in Module 2 of the Zoning Ordinance and Subdivision Regulations Rewrite.

- For the “dark skies” lighting recommendations, what data did the consultants use to determine illumination levels?

- There are great urban playgrounds in new subdivisions but there is not enough connectivity.

- Provide more details on the neighborhood compatibility standards and how they relate to adjacent industrial.

- Regarding the green building standards, will these only apply to new construction, or can it be applied to existing buildings?

- An owner of an industrial use was looking to purchase two lots. Because their business is on two lots, will they need to have a Use and Occupancy permit for each lot and provide separate parking for both lots?

- For “dark skies,” did you consider color balance or temperatures of different lights?

- “Green” and “sustainable” are poorly defined; what do you mean by “green?”

- Can green building standards require neighborhoods to have gas heating?

- More public streets from private street connections are needed, but the County’s Department of Public Works and Transportation (DPW&T) does not like to maintain these connections. Can we encourage them to support this?

- How do we maintain street connectivity and design standards with highways in the middle of most of our communities?

- Please clarify the decision to remove fire and EMS service from the adequacy of public facilities recommendations in the Subdivision Regulations.
What is the criteria for the selection of the eight proposed test cases?